

April 10, 2019

1200 New Jersey Ave., SE Washington, D.C. 20590

In Reply Refer To: HSST-1 / CC-143

Mr. Kaddo Kothman Road Systems, Inc. 3616 Howard County Airport Big Spring, TX 79720

Dear Mr. Kothman:

This letter is in response to your June 16, 2018 request for the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) to review a roadside safety device, hardware, or system for eligibility for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program. We appreciate the additional information you sent dated January 9, 2019 and March 15th, 2019 to facilitate our review. This FHWA letter of eligibility is assigned FHWA control number CC-143 and is valid until a subsequent letter is issued by FHWA that expressly references this device.

Decision

The following device is eligible within the length-of-need, with details provided in the form which is attached as an integral part of this letter:

MFLEAT Terminal

Scope of this Letter

To be found eligible for Federal-aid funding, new roadside safety devices should meet the crash test and evaluation criteria contained in the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials' (AASHTO) Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH). However, the FHWA, the Department of Transportation, and the United States Government do not regulate the manufacture of roadside safety devices. Eligibility for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program does not establish approval, certification or endorsement of the device for any particular purpose or use.

This letter is not a determination by the FHWA, the Department of Transportation, or the United States Government that a vehicle crash involving the device will result in any particular outcome, nor is it a guarantee of the in-service performance of this device. Proper manufacturing, installation, and maintenance are required in order for this device to function as tested.

This finding of eligibility is limited to the crashworthiness of the system and does not cover other structural features, nor conformity with the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices.

Eligibility for Reimbursement

Based solely on a review of crash test results and certifications submitted by the manufacturer, and the crash test laboratory, FHWA agrees that the device described herein meets the crash test and evaluation criteria of the AASHTO's MASH. Therefore, the device is eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program if installed under the range of tested conditions.

Name of system: MFLEAT Terminal

Type of system: Terminal

Test Level: MASH Test Level 3 (TL3)

Testing conducted by: KARCO Date of request: June 16, 2018

Date initially acknowledged: July 17, 2018

FHWA concurs with the recommendation of the accredited crash testing laboratory on the attached form.

Full Description of the Eligible Device

The device and supporting documentation, including reports of the crash tests or other testing done, videos of any crash testing, and/or drawings of the device, are described in the attached form.

Notice

This eligibility letter is issued for the subject device as tested. Modifications made to the device are not covered by this letter. Any modifications to this device should be submitted to the user (i.e., state DOT) as per their requirements.

You are expected to supply potential users with sufficient information on design, installation and maintenance requirements to ensure proper performance.

You are expected to certify to potential users that the hardware furnished has the same chemistry, mechanical properties, and geometry as that submitted for review, and that it will meet the test and evaluation criteria of AASHTO's MASH.

Issuance of this letter does not convey property rights of any sort or any exclusive privilege. This letter is based on the premise that information and reports submitted by you are accurate and correct. We reserve the right to modify or revoke this letter if: (1) there are any inaccuracies in the information submitted in support of your request for this letter, (2) the qualification testing was flawed, (3) in-service performance or other information reveals safety problems, (4) the system is significantly different from the version that was crash tested, or (5) any other information indicates that the letter was issued in error or otherwise does not reflect full and complete information about the crashworthiness of the system.

Standard Provisions

- To prevent misunderstanding by others, this letter of eligibility designated as FHWA control number CC-143 shall not be reproduced except in full. This letter and the test documentation upon which it is based are public information. All such letters and documentation may be reviewed upon request.
- This letter shall not be construed as authorization or consent by the FHWA to use, manufacture, or sell any patented system for which the applicant is not the patent holder.
- This FHWA eligibility letter is not an expression of any Agency view, position, or determination of validity, scope, or ownership of any intellectual property rights to a specific device or design. Further, this letter does not impute any distribution or licensing rights to the requester. This FHWA eligibility letter determination is made based solely on the crash-testing information submitted by the requester. The FHWA reserves the right to review and revoke an earlier eligibility determination after receipt of subsequent information related to crash testing.
- If the subject device is a patented product it may be considered to be proprietary. If proprietary systems are specified by a highway agency for use on Federal-aid projects: (a) they must be supplied through competitive bidding with equally suitable unpatented items; (b) the highway agency must certify that they are essential for synchronization with the existing highway facilities or that no equally suitable alternative exists; or (c) they must be used for research or for a distinctive type of construction on relatively short sections of road for experimental purposes. Our regulations concerning proprietary products are contained in Title 23, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 635.411.

Sincerely,

Michael S. Griffith

Director, Office of Safety Technologies

Michael S. Tuffotl

Office of Safety

Enclosures

Request for Federal Aid Reimbursement Eligibility of Highway Safety Hardware

	Date of Request:	July 02, 2018	New	
	Name:	Robert Ramirez		
tter	Company:	KARCO Engineering, LLC.		
Submitter	Address:	9270 Holly Road, Adelanto, CA 92301		
Su	Country:	United States		
	To:	Michael S. Griffith, Director FHWA, Office of Safety Technologies		

I request the following devices be considered eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program.

<u>Device & Testing Criterion</u> - Enter from right to left starting with Test Level

1-1-1

System Type	Submission Type	Device Name / Variant	Testing Criterion	Test Level
'CC': Crash Cushions, Attenuators, & Terminals	Physical Crash TestingEngineering Analysis	MFLEAT Terminal	AASHTO MASH	TL3

By submitting this request for review and evaluation by the Federal Highway Administration, I certify that the product(s) was (were) tested in conformity with the AASHTO Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware and that the evaluation results meet the appropriate evaluation criteria in the MASH.

Individual or Organization responsible for the product:

Contact Name:	Kaddo Kothman	Same as Submitter
Company Name:	Road Systems, Inc.	Same as Submitter
Address:	3616 Howard County Airport, Big Spring, TX 79720	Same as Submitter
Country:	United States	Same as Submitter
Eligibility Process for Road Systems, Inc. is KARCO Engineering, entity. The company	closures of financial interests as required by the FHWA `Feder or Safety Hardware Devices' document. I the manufacturer and marketer of device. LLC Is an independent research and testing laboratory having now is solely-owned and operated by Mr. Frank D. Richardson and Ms. and was established on September 2, 1994. KARCO is actively Invol	affiliation with any other Jennifer W. Peng
and compliance/cert principals and staff c interest in any comp Interest should arise,	tification testing for a variety of government agencies and equipm of KARCO Engineering have no past or present financial, contractual any or entity directly or indirectly related to the products that KAR, other than receiving fees for testing, reporting, etc., with respecting, ln writing, a full and immediate disclosure to the FHWA.	ent manufacturers. The Il or organizational CO tests. If any financial

PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

6	New Hardware or	_ Modification to
(•	Significant Modification	Existing Hardware

The MASH FLEAT terminal is a flared W-beam guardrail terminal consisting of: an impact head assembly, a breakaway cable anchorage system with a ground strut, three (3) rail sections, and eight (8) posts. The terminal is installed with a straight flare of 3 ft (0.9 m) offset over a length of 39.6 ft (12.1 m).

The MASH FLEAT impact head assembly is 6.9 ft (2.1 m) long, consisting of an impact head and an attached guide chute that partially encloses the rail. Inside the impact head is a deflector plate which, together with the kinker beam, sequentially kinks the guardrail as it is fed through the impact head, thus dissipating the kinetic energy of the impacting vehicle.

The anchorage system consists of: an end post (Post 1) and a hinged Post 2 connected with a ground strut, a cable anchorage assembly to transmit the force from the rail to the end post and its foundation, and a cable release bracket that disengages the cable anchor from the rail upon impact by the end of the guide chute. Post 1 has a 2.4 ft (0.7 m) long top portion constructed of 6 in. x 6 in. x 0.125 in. (152 mm x 152 mm x 3 mm) steel tube and a 6 ft (1.8 m) long bottom section constructed of W6 x 15 steel I-beam. The top and bottom sections are pinned together by a 0.625 in. (16 mm) diameter bolt and nut. Post 2 (the same for Post 3) consists of one 2.8 ft (0.9 m) long top portion and a 6 ft (1.8 m) long bottom portion, both constructed of W6x9 steel I-beam and pinned together by a 0.75 in. (19 mm) diameter bolt and nut. The upstream end of the cable anchor is attached to Post 1 through a 0.625 in. (16 mm) thick, 8.0 in. (203 mm) square steel bearing plate. The downstream end of the cable anchor is attached to a cable release bracket designed to disengage from the rail section upon impact by the end of the guide chute. The ground strut is mounted to a second 0.625 in. (16 mm) bolt through Post 1 and by the 0.75 in. (19 mm) hinge bolt in Post 2.

All guardrail sections consist of 12-ga W-beam rail sections. The end section is 12.5 ft (3.8 m) long with hole patterns for rail splices and attachment of the cable release bracket, as well as slots to initiate kinking. The second rail section is 10.4 ft (3.2 m) long so that the next splice is mid-span between Posts 5 and 6. The third rail section is 13.5 ft (4.1 m) long, followed by standard 12.5 ft (3.8 m) rail sections. With the exception of the first splice, all splices are mid-span between posts.

There are eight (8) posts in the terminal section. In addition to the breakaway end post, posts 2 and 3 are hinged posts. Posts 4 through 8 are standard 6.0 ft (1.8 m) W6 x 9 steel posts and can utilize 8.0 in (203 mm) or 12 in. (305 mm) deep wood or recycled plastic blockouts. Post spacing between Posts 1 and 2 and Posts 2 and 3 is 6.25 ft (1.9 m), which is then reduced to 50 in. (1.3 m) through the rest of the terminal from Posts 3 through 8. The post spacing then reverts back to the standard 6.25 ft (1.9 m) beyond the terminal.

The MASH FLEAT Terminal may utilize powder coated or painted rail sections, impact head and other components. Reference attachment Powder Coated Report 08/27/2009.

Test Chronology:

Test 3-34 and 3-35 were conducted between 01/31/17 to 03/27/17

Test 3-32, 3-31, and 3-33 were conducted between 02/01/18 to 02/05/18 with design Modification I Test 3-30 and 3-37 were conducted between 03/20/18 to 03/30/18 with design Modification I and II Reference Appendix C for complete details on the modifications.

CRASH TESTING

By signature below, the Engineer affiliated with the testing laboratory, agrees in support of this submission that all of the critical and relevant crash tests for this device listed above were conducted to meet the MASH test criteria. The Engineer has determined that no other crash tests are necessary to determine the device meets the MASH criteria.

Engineer Name:	Robert Ramirez		
Engineer Signature:	Robert Ramirez	Digitally signed by Rober DN: cn=Robert Ramirez, email=rramirez@karco.cc Date: 2018.06.15 17:00:44	o=KARCO Engineering, ou=Project Engineer, om, c=US
Address:	9270 Holly Rd., Adelanto, CA 92301		Same as Submitter
Country:	United States		Same as Submitter

A brief description of each crash test and its result:

Required Test Number	Narrative Description	Evaluation Results
	Description KARCO Test No. P38079-01. An 1100C (2,425 lb) passenger car impacting the terminal end-on at a nominal impact speed and angle of 100 km/h (62.2 mph) and 0 degree, respectively, with the quarter point of the vehicle aligned with the centerline of the nose of the terminal. This test is primarily intended to evaluate occupant risk and vehicle trajectory criteria. The test vehicle, a 2013 Hyundai Accent 4-door sedan weighing 2,431.7 lb (1,103.0 kg), impacted the MASH FLEAT terminal headon at impact speed and angle of 59.91 mph (96.42 km/h) and 0.7 degrees, respectively. The vehicle pushed the impact head down the length of the guardrail past the Post 4, at which point the rail began to buckle and the vehicle began to yaw clockwise. The vehicle then impacted the rail at the bend at the passenger door on the driver side before coming to a stop next to the rail on the field side, 48.1 ft (14.7 m) from the point	Results
	of initial impact. The test vehicle sustained moderate damage to the front end and to the driver side with a maximum occupant compartment deformation of 3 in. (76 mm). The vehicle remained upright and did not leave its lane. The test article received extensive damage from post 1 through post 6. The Occupant Impact Velocities (OIV) and ridedown accelerations are within the recommended limits. In summary, the MASH FLEAT terminal passed all evaluation criteria for Test 3-30. The test was conducted on 3/20/18 with Modification I and Modification II detailed in Appendix C.	

Required Test	Narrative	F 1
Number	Description	Evaluation Results
Ib, or 10 res ve no into ve The formal span and	ARCO Test No. P38022-01. A 2270P (5,000 p) pickup truck impacting the terminal ending at a nominal impact speed and angle of 20 km/h (62.2 mph) and 0 degree, espectively, with the centerline of the ehicle aligned with the centerline of the ose of the terminal. This test is primarily stended to evaluate occupant risk and ehicle trajectory criteria. The test vehicle, a 2013 Dodge Ram 1500 pur-door pickup truck, with a test inertial plass of 5,009.9 lb (2,272.5 kg) impacted the ASH FLEAT terminal head-on at impact placed and angle of 60.40 mph (97.21 km/h) and 0.3 degree, respectively. The vehicle pushed the impact head down the length of the guardrail past Post 9 and came to rest and 16.4 m) downstream from the point initial impact. The test vehicle sustained oderate damage to the front end with ending before the sustained of th	PASS

KARCO Test No. P38050-01. An 1100C (2,425 lb) passenger car impacting the terminal end-on at a nominal impact speed and angle of 100 km/h (62.2 mph) and 5 degrees, respectively, with the centerline of the vehicle aligned with the centerline of the nose of the terminal. This test is primarily intended to evaluate occupant risk and vehicle trajectory criteria.

The test vehicle, a 2012 Kia Rio 4-door sedan weighing 2,428.4 lb (1,101.5 kg), impacted the MASH FLEAT terminal head-on at impact speed and angle of 62.06 mph (99.88 km/h) and 5.3 degrees, respectively. The vehicle pushed the impact head down the length of the guardrail past the fifth post, at which point the vehicle gated through the guardrail at a speed and angle of 29.8 mph (48.0 km/h) and 4.7 degrees, respectively. The vehicle then proceeded forward behind the guardrail and came to rest 189.5 ft (57.8 m) downstream from the point of initial impact. The test vehicle sustained moderate damage to the front and left side with negligible occupant compartment deformation. The vehicle remained upright and did not leave its lane. The test article received extensive damage from post 1 through post 5. The Occupant Impact Velocities (OIV) and ridedown accelerations are within the recommended limits. In summary, the MASH FLEAT terminal passed all evaluation criteria for Test 3-32.

3-32 (1100C)

PASS

The test was conducted on 2/1/18 with Modification I detailed in Appendix C.

KARCO Test No. P38051-01. A 2270P (5,000 lb) pickup truck impacting the terminal endon at a nominal impact speed and angle of 100 km/h (62.2 mph) and 5 degrees, respectively, with the centerline of the vehicle aligned with the centerline of the nose of the terminal. This test is primarily intended to evaluate occupant risk and vehicle trajectory criteria.

The test vehicle, a 2013 Dodge Ram 1500 4door pickup truck weighing 5,006.6 lb (2,271.0 kg), impacted the MASH FLEAT terminal head-on at an impact speed and angle of 62.60 mph (100.75 km/h) and 4.9 degrees, respectively. The vehicle pushed the impact head down the guardrail past the fifth post at which point the vehicle gated through the guardrail in a controlled manner at a speed and angle of 44.1 mph (71.0 km/h) and 7.7 degrees, respectively. The vehicle then proceeded forward behind PASS

3-33 (2270P)

the guardrail and impacted the test article again between posts 16 and 17 before coming to rest against the rail 132.9 ft (40.5 m) downstream from the point of initial impact. The vehicle sustained moderate damage at the front and left side with negligible deformation to the occupant compartment. The vehicle remained upright and did not leave its lane. The test article received extensive damage from posts 1 through Post 5. Posts 6 through 12 were not impacted, but separated from the guardrail as a result of the rail buckling. The Occupant Impact Velocities (OIV) and ridedown accelerations are within the recommended limits. In summary, the MASH FLEAT terminal passed all evaluation criteria for Test 3-33.

The test was conducted on 2/5/18 with Modification I detailed in Appendix C.

KARCO Test No. P37028-01. An 1100C (2,425 lb) passenger car impacting the terminal at a nominal impact speed and angle of 100 km/h (62.2 mph) and 15 degrees, respectively, with the corner of the vehicle bumper aligned with the critical impact point (CIP) of the length of need (LON) of the terminal. This test is primarily intended to evaluate occupant risk and vehicle trajectory criteria.

The test vehicle, a 2011 Kia Rio 4-door sedan weighing 2,415.1 lb (1,095.5 kg), impacted the downstream end of the impact head 26.9 in. (682 mm) downstream of Post 1 at impact speed and angle of 61.93 mph (99.66 km/h) and 15.3 degrees, respectively. The impact angle relative to the terminal was 19.3°. The vehicle was contained and redirected by the guardrail before separating from the test article near Post 7 and coming to rest near Post 9, 41.2 ft (12.6 m) downstream of the point of initial impact. The vehicle remained upright and stable throughout the impact sequence and did not leave its lane. The test vehicle sustained moderate damage to the front right side with negligible occupant compartment deformation. The test article received extensive damage from Post 1 through Post 7. The Occupant Impact Velocities (OIV) and ridedown accelerations are within the recommended limits. In summary, the MASH FLEAT terminal passed all evaluation criteria for Test 3-34.

3-34 (1100C)

PASS

There were no design modifications used on this test. The test was conducted on 3/27/17.

KARCO Test No. P36061-01. A 2270P (5,000 lb) pickup truck impacting the terminal at a nominal impact speed and angle of 100 km/h (62.2 mph) and 25 degrees, respectively, with the corner of the vehicle bumper aligned with the beginning of the LON of the terminal. This test is primarily intended to evaluate structural adequacy and vehicle trajectory criteria.

The test vehicle, a 2011 Dodge Ram 1500 4door pickup truck weighing 4,993.4 lb (2,265.0 kg), impacted the guardrail at Post 4, the beginning of length-of-need, at impact speed and angle of 62.08 mph (99.91 km/h) and 25.4 degrees, respectively. The impact angle relative to the terminal was 29.7°. The vehicle was contained and redirected by the guardrail before separating from the test article near Post 10 at a velocity of 36.76 mph (59.16 km/h) and an exit angle of 28.2 degrees and proceeded downstream adjacent to the guardrail on the traffic side. The vehicle then turned back toward the guardrail before coming to rest 99.9 ft (30.5 m) downstream from the point of initial impact. The vehicle remained upright and stable throughout the impact sequence and did not leave its lane. The test vehicle sustained moderate damage to the front right side with negligible occupant compartment deformation. The test article received extensive damage from Post 4 through Post 9. The maximum static lateral deformation was 3.7 ft (1.1 m) around Post 8. The Occupant Impact Velocities (OIV) and ridedown accelerations are within the recommended limits. In summary, the

3-35 (2270P)

There were no design modifications used on this test. The test was conducted on

criteria for Test 3-35.

1/31/17.

MASH FLEAT terminal passed all evaluation

PASS

		Page 9 of 1
3-36 (2270P)	MASH Test Designation 3-36. A2270P (5,000 lb) pickup truck impacting the terminal at a nominal Impact speed and angle of 100 km/h (62 mph) and 25 degrees, respectively, with the corner of the vehicle bumper aligned with the critical Impact point (CIP) with respect to the transition to the stiff barrier or backup structure. This test Is primarily intended to evaluate the performance of the terminal when connected to a stiff barrier or a backup structure. As a W-beam guardrail terminal, the MFLEAT terminal is designed to attach to W-beam barrier, transitions to alternative barriers downstream of the terminal will require case-by-case evaluation.	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
3-37 (1100C)	KARCO Test No. P38080-01. A 1100C (2,425 lb) passenger car impacting the terminal at a nominal impact speed and angle of 100 km/h (62.2 mph) and 25 degrees, respectively, at Post 3 in the reverse direction. This test is intended to evaluate the performance of a terminal for a "reverse" hit. The test vehicle, a 2012 Hyundai Accent 4-door sedan weighing 2,427.2 lb (1,101.0 kg), impacted the guardrail at Post 3 in the reverse direction at an impact speed and angle of 60.84 mph (97.92 km/h) and 25.5 degrees, respectively. The vehicle impacted Post 2, the back side of the impact head, the anchor cable, and then Post 1 before separating from the test article at an exit velocity of 32.4 mph (52.1 km/h) and an angle of 30.1 degrees. The vehicle sustained moderate damage at the front with negligible deformation to the occupant compartment of 0.3 in. (8 mm). The test article received extensive damage between Posts 1 and 2. The impact head and cable anchor assembly stayed with the guardrail. The Occupant Impact Velocities (OIV) and ridedown accelerations are within the recommended limits. In summary, the MASH FLEAT terminal passed all evaluation criteria for Test 3-37. The test was conducted on 3/30/18 with Modification I and Modification II detailed in Appendix C.	PASS

		Page 10 of 1
3-38 (1500A)	MASH Test Designation 3-38. A1500A (3,307 lb) passenger car impacting the terminal end-on at a nominal impact speed and angle of 100 km/h (62.2 mph) and 0 degree, respectively, with the center line of the vehicle aligned with the center line of the nose of the terminal. This test Is primarily intended to evaluate the performance of the staged attenuator/terminal when Impacted by a mid-size vehicle. The MFLEAT terminal is not a staged device, because the force required to move the Impact head down the rail does not change.	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
3-40 (1100C)	Test for non-redlrective crash cushion, not applicable for terminals	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
3-41 (2270P)	Test for non-redlrective crash cushion, not applicable for terminals	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
3-42 (1100C)	Test for non-redlrective crash cushion, not applicable for terminals	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
3-43 (2270P)	Test for non-redlrective crash cushion, not applicable for terminals	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
3-44 (2270P)	Test for non-redlrective crash cushion, not applicable for terminals	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
3-45 (1500A)	Test for non-redIrective crash cushion, not applicable for terminals	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted

Full Scale Crash Testing was done in compliance with MASH by the following accredited crash test laboratory (cite the laboratory's accreditation status as noted in the crash test reports.):

Laboratory Name:	KARCO Engineering, LLC.		
Laboratory Signature:	AB	Digitally signed by Alex Bo DN: cn=Alex Beltran, o=Ko email=abeltran@karco.co Date: 2018.06.18 11:16:40	ARCO Engineering, ou=Testing Laboratory, m, c=US
Address:	9270 Holly Road, Adelanto, CA 92301	8	Same as Submitter
Country:	United States		Same as Submitter
Accreditation Certificate Number and Dates of current Accreditation period :	TL-371; October 12, 2017 - July 1, 2019		,

Submitter Signature*: Robert Ramirez

Discribbert R

Submit Form

ATTACHMENTS


Attach to this form:

- 1) Additional disclosures of related financial interest as indicated above.
- 2) A copy of the full test report, video, and a Test Data Summary Sheet for each test conducted in support of this request.
- 3) A drawing or drawings of the device(s) that conform to the Task Force-13 Drawing Specifications [Hardware Guide Drawing Standards]. For proprietary products, a single isometric line drawing is usually acceptable to illustrate the product, with detailed specifications, intended use, and contact information provided on the reverse. Additional drawings (not in TF-13 format) showing details that are relevant to understanding the dimensions and performance of the device should also be submitted to facilitate our review.

FHWA Official Business Only:

Eligi	bility Letter	
Number	Date	Key Words

MASH 2016 Test 3-30 Summary




Test Agency KARCO Engineering, LLC.	=
No	<u>=</u>
Test Designation 3-30	<u></u>
Test Date 3/20/18	
Test Article	Exit
Name / Model MFLEAT -SP-MGS Terminal	Ē
TypeGuardrail Terminal	μ
Installation Length 170.8 ft. (52.1 m)	<u> </u>
Terminal Length39.6 ft. (1.2 m)	
	←
	←
Test Vehicle	√e
Type / Designation 1100C	N.
Year, Make, and Model 2013 Hyundai Accent	Z,
Curb Mass	M
Test Inertial Mass2,431.7 lbs (1,103.0 kg)	
Gross Static Mass	

	General Information	Impact Conditions
	Test Agency KARCO Engineering, LLC.	Impact Velocity 59.91 mph (96.42 km/h)
	KARCO Test No P38079-01	Impact Angle0.7°
3	Test Designation 3-30	Location / Orientation Frontal Offset 15.8 in. (401 m
	Test Date 3/20/18	Kinetic Energy 291.8 kip-ft (395.6 kJ)
	Test Article	Exit Conditions
	Name / Model MFLEAT -SP-MGS Terminal	Exit Velocity
	Type Guardrail Terminal	Exit Angle41.9°
	Installation Length 170.8 ft. (52.1 m)	Final Vehicle Position 48.1 ft (14.7 m) downstream
	Terminal Length39.6 ft. (1.2 m)	4.8 ft (1.5 m) Field Side
	Road Surface Medium to fine silty sand	Vehicle Snagging None
		Vehicle PocketingNone
	Test Vehicle	Vehicle Stability Satisfactory
	Type / Designation 1100C	Maximum Roll Angle 16.4°
	Year, Make, and Model 2013 Hyundai Accent	Maximum Pitch Angle11.1°
_	Curb Mass	Maximum Yaw Angle52.4
	Test Inertial Mass2,431.7 lbs (1,103.0 kg)	
	Gross Static Mass 2,622.4 lbs (1,189.5 kg)	

ns	Occupant Risk
y 59.91 mph (96.42 km/h)	Longitudinal OIV23.0 ft/s (7.0 m/s)
0.7°	
ntation Frontal Offset 15.8 in. (401 mm)	Longitudinal RA11.7 g
291.8 kip-ft (395.6 kJ)	Lateral RA8.9
	THIV23.0 ft/s (7.0 m/s)
	PHD14.1 g
	ASI0.70
41.9	
osition 48.1 ft (14.7 m) downstream	Test Article Deflections
4.8 ft (1.5 m) Field Side	Static 6.0 ft. (1.8 m)
ing None	Dynamic 6.6 ft. (2.0 m)
lingNone	Working Width 8.1 ft. (2.5 m)
y Satisfactory	Debris Field 73.0 ft. (22.2 m) Downstream
Angle 16.4°	26.2 ft. (8.0 m) Field Side
n Angle11.1°	Vehicle Damage
Angle52.4	Vehicle Damage Scale 12-FC-4
	CDC12FDEW2
	Maximum Intrusion 3.0 in (76 mm)

Figure 2 Summary of Test 3-30

MASH 2016 Test 3-31 Summary




Gross Static Mass 5,009.9 lbs (2,272.5 kg)	5,009.9 lbs (2,272.5 kg)	5,034.2 lbs (2,283.5 kg)		2270P			Road Surface Medium to fine silty sand	Terminal Length	n 170.8 ft. (52.1 m)	Guardrail Terminal	Name / Model MFLEAT -SP-MGS Terminal Exit C	Test Article	Kine	Test Date	Test Designation 3-31	KARCO Test No	Test AgencyKARCO Engineering, LLC. Imp	General Information Impac	
	Maximum Yaw Angle 81.3°	Maximum Pitch Angle 2.2°	Maximum Roll Angle 7.8°	Vehicle Stability Satisfactory	Vehicle PocketingNone	Vehicle Snagging None	1.75 in. (44 mm) Field side	Final Vehicle Position 53.7 ft. (16.4 m) Downstream	Exit AngleN/A	Exit VelocityN/A	Exit Conditions	9	Kinetic Energy 611.0 kip-ft (828.4 kJ)	CL	Location / Orientation 0.7 in. (18 mm) Left of vehicle	Impact Angle 0.3°	Impact Velocity 60.40 mph (97.21 km/h)	Impact Conditions	
Maximum Intrusion 0.3 in. (8 mm)	CDC12FCLN2	Vehicle Damage Scale 12-FC-4	Vehicle Damage	2.9 ft. (0.9 m) Left	Debris Field 40.3 ft. (12.3 m) Do	Working Width 13.2 ft. (4.0 m)		Static	Test Article Deflections			PHD	THIV 31.2 ft/s (9.5 m/s)		Longitudinal RA4.5 g		Longitudinal OIV 17.1 ft/s (5.2 m/s)	Occupant Risk	

	Occupant Risk
60.40 mph (97.21 km/h)	Longitudinal OIV17.1 ft/s (5.2 m/s)
0.3°	Lateral OIV 0 ft/s (0 m/s)
ion 0.7 in. (18 mm) Left of vehicle	Longitudinal RA4.5 g
CL	Lateral RA4.1 g
611.0 kip-ft (828.4 kJ)	THIV 31.2 ft/s (9.5 m/s)
	PHD4.6 g
	ASI
N/A	
N/A	Test Article Deflections
ion 53.7 ft. (16.4 m) Downstream	Static
1.75 in. (44 mm) Field side	Dynamic
None	Working Width 13.2 ft. (4.0 m)
None	Debris Field 40.3 ft. (12.3 m) Downstream
Satisfactory	2.9 ft. (0.9 m) Left
le 7.8°	Vehicle Damage
gle 2.2°	Vehicle Damage Scale 12-FC-4
jle 81.3°	CDC12FCLN2
	Maximum Intrusion 0.3 in. (8 mm)

Figure 2 Summary of Test 3-31

MASH 2016 Test 3-32 Summary




General Information	=
Test Agency KARCO Engineering, LLC.	
Test Designation 3-32	
Test Date	
Test Article	
Name / Model MFLEAT -SP-MGS Terminal TypeGuardrail Terminal	lm
Installation Length 170.8 ft. (52.1 m)	
Terminal Length 39.6 ft. (12.1 m)	
Road Surface Medium to fine silty sand	
Test Vehicle	
nd Model	
Curb Mass	-
Test Inertial Mass2,428.4 lbs (1,101.5 kg)	_
Gross Static Mass	- 1

	Impact Conditions
ARCO Engineering, LLC.	Impact Velocity 62.06 mph (99.88 km/h)
38050-01	
-32	Location / Orientation 0.6 in. (15 mm) Left of vehicle
1/18	CL CL
	Kinetic Energy 312.7 kip-ft (423.9 kJ)
FLEAT -SP-MGS Terminal	Exit Conditions
uardrail Terminal	Exit Velocity 29.8 mph (48.0 km/h)
70.8 ft. (52.1 m)	Exit Angle4.7°
9.6 ft. (12.1 m)	Final Vehicle Position 189.5 ft (57.8 m) Downstream
edium to fine silty sand	15.0 ft (4.6 m) Field side
	Vehicle Snagging Minor
COMPANY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	Vehicle PocketingNone
100C	Vehicle Stability Satisfactory
012 Kia Rio	Maximum Roll Angle 5.5°
462.5 lbs (1,117.0 kg)	Maximum Pitch Angle 3.0°
428.4 lbs (1,101.5 kg)	Maximum Yaw Angle 9.7°
600 3 lbs (1 179 5 kg)	

Figure 2 Summary of Test 3-32

Maximum Intrusion	Vehicle Damage Scale	Debris Field	Dynamic	Test Article Deflections Static	PHD ASI	vehicle Longitudinal RALateral RA	h) Longitudinal OIV	Occupant Risk
12FDEW3 0.2 in. (5 mm)	12-FD-4	4.5 ft. (1.4 m) 78.3 ft. (23.9 m) Downstream 6.6 ft. (2.0 m) Right	. 3.2 ft. (1.0 m)	3 0 ft (0 9 m)	24.6 ft/s (7.5 m/s) . 8.5 g . 0.68	-7.3 g 4.6 g	24.3 ft/s (7.4 m/s) 2.3 ft/s (0.7 m/s)	

MASH 2016 Test 3-33 Summary



General Information	₫
Test Agency KARCO Engineering, LLC.	_
0	_
Test Designation 3-33	_
Test Date2/5/18	
Test Article	_
Name / Model MFLEAT -SP-MGS Terminal	Exi
Type Guardrail Terminal	ш
Installation Length 170.8 ft. (52.1 m)	ш
Terminal Length 39.6 ft. (12.1 m)	П
Road Surface Medium to fine silty sand	_
Test Vehicle	<
Type / Designation 2270P	<
Year, Make, and Model 2013 RAM 1500	7
Curb Mass 4,920.6 lbs (2,232.0 kg)	7
Test Inertial Mass5,006.6 lbs (2,271.0 kg)	7
Gross Static Mass 5,006.6 lbs (2,271.0 kg)	

	Impact Conditions
<u></u>	Impact Velocity 62.60 mph (100.75 km/h)
	Impact Angle4.9°
	Location / Orientation 1.2 in. (30 mm) Left of vehicle
	CF.
	Kinetic Energy 655.9 kip-ft (889.3 kJ)
mi.	Exit Conditions
	Exit Velocity 44.1 mph (71.0 km/h)
	Exit Angle
	Final Vehicle Position 132.9 ft. (40.5 m) Downstream
۵	9.4 ft. (2.9 m) left
	Vehicle Snagging Minor
	Vehicle PocketingNone
	Vehicle Stability Satisfactory
	Maximum Roll Angle3.8°
	Maximum Pitch Angle 2.5°
	Maximum Yaw Angle 21.8°

	Occupant Risk
	Longitudinal OIV 16.1 ft/s (4.9 m/s)
	Longitudinal RA7.0 g
	Lateral RA 11.9 g
	THIV 16.4 ft/s (5.0 m/s)
	ASI
	Test Article Deflections
	Static
-	Debris Field 45.2 ft. (13.8 m Downstream
	6.8 ft. (2.1 m) Right
	Vehicle Damage
	Vehicle Damage Scale 12-FC-4
_	CDC12FYEW3
	Maximum Intrusion 1.3 in. (33 mm)

Figure 2 Summary of Test 3-33

MASH 2016 Test 3-34 Summary












0.000 s

0.175 s

0.350 s

0.575 s

0.700 s



General Information Test AgencyKARCO Engineering, LLC.
Test Designation 3-34
Test Date
Test Article
Name / Model MFLEAT -SP-MGS Terminal
TypeGuardrail Terminal
Installation Length 170.9 ft. (52.1 m)
Terminal Length 39.6 ft. (12.1 m)
Road Surface Medium to fine silty sand
Test Vehicle
Type / Designation 1100C
Year, Make, and Model 2011 Kia Rio
Curb Mass
Test Inertial Mass2,415.1 lbs (1,095.5 kg)
Gross Static Mass 2 576 1 lbs (1 168 5 kg)

tion	Impact Conditions
KARCO Engineering, LLC.	Impact Velocity 61.93 mph (99.66 km/h)
loP37028-01	Impact Angle (LON) 15.3°
on3-34	Impact Angle (Terminal) 19.6°
3/27/17	Location / Orientation 26.9 in. (682 mm) downstream
	of post 1
	Impact Severity 21.6 kip-ft (29.2 kJ)
MFLEAT -SP-MGS Terminal	
Guardrail Terminal	Exit Conditions
ngth 170.9 ft. (52.1 m)	Exit VelocityN/A
th	Exit AngleN/A
Medium to fine silty sand	Final Vehicle Position 41.2 ft (12.6 m) downstream
	6.7 ft (2.0 m) traffic side
A 1999 00000	Vehicle Snagging Minor
ation 1100C	Vehicle PocketingNone
nd Model 2011 Kia Rio	Vehicle Stability Satisfactory
2,495.6 lbs (1,132.0 kg)	Maximum Roll Angle7.4°
ass	Maximum Pitch Angle5.5°
ass 2,576.1 lbs (1,168.5 kg)	Maximum Yaw Angle 46.8°

	<u> </u>	ä B	
Vehicle Damage Vehicle Damage Scale 01-RFQ-2 CDC	Test Article Deflections Static	Occupant Risk Longitudinal OIV 15.7 ft/s (4.8 m/s) Lateral OIV 12.5 ft/s (3.8 m/s) Longitudinal RA -8.7 g Lateral RA -6.0 THIV 18.4 ft/s (5.6 m/s) PHD 10.2 g ASI 0.69	

Figure 2 Summary of Test 3-34

MASH 2016 Test 3-35 Summary



0.000 s

0.200 s

0.400 s

0.600 s

-99.9 ft. [30.5 m]-











A 8 A	6.4 ft [1.9 m]
1	
•	
•	
•	
ю	
•	
ğ	
-0	6
ю	
٥	A
40	~

KARCO Engineering, LLC. No	General Information Test Agency KARCO Test No Test Designation Test Date Test Article Name / Model Type Installation Length Terminal Length Road Surface
yst Vehicle Type / Designation	Test Vehicle Type / Designation Year, Make, and Model. Curb Mass Test Inertial Mass Gross Static Mass

Maximum	oss Static Mass4,993.4 lbs (2,265.0 kg)
Maximum	
Maximum	and Model
Vehicle St	pe / Designation 2270P
Vehicle Po	Vehicle
Vehicle Sn	
	ad Surface Medium to fine silty sand
Final Vehic	rminal Length 39.6 ft. (12.1 m)
Exit Angle	5
Exit Veloci	peGuardrail Terminal
Exit Conditi	Ime / Model MFLEAT -SP-MGS Terminal
	Article
Impact Ser	


0.800 s
q q q y 5
A VIC
THIV
Test Article Deflections Static
Working Width
Vehicle Damage Vehicle Damage Scale.: 01-RFQ-2

Figure 2 Summary of Test 3-35

CDC......01RFEW1

Maximum Intrusion...... Negligible

MASH 2016 Test 3-37 Summary




	Test Vehicle Type / Designation
m	Test Article Name / Model MFLEAT -SP-MGS Terminal Type Guardrail Terminal Installation Length 83.3 ft. (25.4 m) Terminal Length 39.6 ft. (12.1 m) Road Surface Medium to fine silty sand
15	General Information Test AgencyKARCO Engineering, LLC. KARCO Test NoP38080-01 Test Designation3-37 Test Date3/30/18

Maximum Yaw Angle 25.0°	2,427.2 lbs (1,101.0 kg)
Maximum Pitch Angle 5.8°	2,505.5 lbs (1,136.5 kg)
Maximum Roll Angle6.3°	2012 Hyundai Accent
Vehicle Stability Satisfactory	1100C
Vehicle PocketingNone	
23.5 ft (7.2 m) Field side	Medium to fine silty sand
Final Vehicle Position 97.5 ft (29.7 m) Downsti	39.6 ft. (12.1 m)
Exit Angle30.1°	83.3 ft. (25.4 m)
Exit Velocity 32.4 mph (52.1 km/h)	Guardrail Terminal
Exit Conditions	MFLEAT -SP-MGS Terminal
Impact Severity55.7 kip-ft (75.5 kJ)	
post 3	3/30/18
Location / Orientation 3.1 in. (79 mm) upstream	3-37
Impact Angle25.5°	P38080-01
Impact Velocity 60.84 mph (97.92 km/h)	KARCO Engineering, LLC.
Impact Conditions	

	Occupant Risk
<u>h</u>	Longitudinal OIV31.8 ft/s (9.7 m/s)
3	Lateral OIV 8.2 ft/s (2.5 m/s)
eam from	Longitudinal RA9.6 g
	Lateral RA 4.2 g
	THIV 32.8 ft/s (10.0 m/s)
	PHD10.4 g
	ASI0.98
	Test Article Deflections
stream	Static 9.0 ft. (2.7 m)
ide	Dynamic
	Working Width 14.9 ft. (4.5 m)
	Debris Field 18.1 ft. (5.5 m) Downstream
	Vehicle Damage
	Vehicle Damage Scale01-FL3
	CDC01FYEW2
	Maximum Intrusion 0.3 in. (8 mm)

Figure 2 Summary of Test 3-37



INTENDED USE

The **MFLEAT** (MASH FLEAT) is a flared roadside energy-absorbing terminal that has been designed and tested under MASH criteria. The MFLEAT system has a 3-ft straight flare offset over the length of the system and has a top-of-rail height of 31" with a plus-or-minus 1" height tolerance.

The first two posts in the terminal are bolted posts connected by a strut. Downstream of post #2 the terminal requires the use of a 10'-5" W-Beam panel to set splices at mid-span between posts, one additional bolted post with no blockout, and five W6x9 (or W6x8.5) steel line posts having 8" or 12" wood or composite blocks. The third 12 gage W-Beam panel is 13'-6 1/2" long and extends 3'-1 1/2" beyond post #8 for a TL-3 system.

The MFLEAT is used to protect the ends of MGS W-Beam barriers. During end-on impacts, the vehicle pushes the MFLEAT impact head down the rail section while sequentially kinking the rail element. The kinked rail exits the impact head on the traffic side of the rail.

The MFLEAT is a cable-anchored system. When impacted on the traffic side within the length of need and within design limits, the MFLEAT contains and redirects the errant vehicle back toward its original travel path. A cable anchor bracket is attached to the backside of the first 12'-6" rail section with special high strength shoulder bolts. The cable anchor bracket locks into place for traffic face redirection impacts but releases for end-on impacts.

ACCEPTANCE

FHWA Letter CC-xx, xx, 2018 - MFLEAT Test Level 3

CONTACT INFORMATION

Road Systems, Inc.
3616 Old Howard County Airport
Big Spring, Texas 79720
Phone 432-263-2435Fax 432-267-4039
www.roadsystems.com

MFI	LEAT -	MASH	FLEAT		MGS	System
SEW	714c			?=	QT.	Road Systems
SHEET NO.	DATE:			7.4		$\operatorname{systems}$
2 of 2	06-21-18					Inc.

